

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/720,370	HOCK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hugh B. Thompson II	3634	

All Participants:

(1) Hugh B. Thompson II(PTO).

(2) Mr. Peter Holsen.

Status of Application: ~~amended~~ final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 March 2006

Time: am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

40,42

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agrees to amend claims 40 and 42 to correct Section 112 ambiguities.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Hugh B. Thompson II
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)